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In a pioneering paper on the revenue-complexity hypothesis, Heyndels and Smolders (1995) demonstrate that the conventional employment of the Hirschman-Herfindal index (HHC) in the empirical analysis of fiscal illusion introduces an arbitrary restriction without theoretical foundation. They propose instead the more general Hannah and Kay index (HK). In this paper we extend this approach to 1991 data drawn from forty six local government areas in Tasmania, Australia. Our results are in broad agreement with those produced by Heyndels and Smolders using local government data from Flanders, Belgium. We find that the HHC may involve sizeable misspecification bias and our results provide further support for the use of HK instead of HHC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Revenue-complexity, sometimes termed tax complexity, has long been identified as one of five potential sources of the systematic misperception of fiscal parameters, otherwise known as fiscal illusion. The essence of the revenue-complexity hypothesis has been described by Wallace Oates (1988, p. 69) as “… the more complicated the revenue system, the more difficult it is for the taxpayer to determine the tax-price of public outputs - and the more likely it is that he will underestimate the tax-burden associated with public programs”. Tax complexity itself is viewed as arising from two separate influences; namely, the dispersion or fragmentation of total tax revenue over different types of taxation, and the visibility of individual taxes. The empirical analysis of the revenue-complexity hypothesis naturally required some measure or proxy for the degree of tax complexity associated with a given fiscal jurisdiction. Since Wagner’s
(1976) seminal study borrowed the Hirschman-Herfindahl concentration index (HHC) from industrial organisation to represent tax complexity, all subsequent empirical investigations of the revenue-complexity hypothesis have also used the HHC.

In a recent paper Heyndels and Smolders (1995) examine the theoretical arguments underlying the tax complexity hypothesis and in particular question the appropriateness of employing the HHC as a suitable measure of revenue complexity. The essence of their objections to the HHC is as follows (Heyndels and Smolders 1995, pp. 130-131):

As such, the HHC corresponds to the general ideal of Wagner’s “abstraction argument” concerning the impact of size inequalities on fiscal misconception. Still, whatever the (theoretical) explanation given to the exact nature of this impact, it is hard to put forward a given relation between both determinants (number and size inequalities) that is based on theoretical grounds. This however is exactly what is being done by using the HHC in empirical research. In other words: the impact of the number of taxes on the one hand and of size inequalities between the different items on the other is theoretically plausible. However, by putting forward a given relationship between those two determinants, the choice of the HHC introduces a restriction into the model that has hardly any theoretical foundation and above all can be circumvented easily.

In place of the conventional HHC, Heyndels and Smolders (1995) propose a more general approach to the measurement of tax complexity which enables the relative importance of the number of taxes and size inequalities between different taxes to be determined empirically. This is accomplished by means of a Hannah and Kay concentration index (HK), which is a more general measure of concentration than the HHC.

In order to evaluate the statistical impact of substituting HK for HHC, Heyndels and Smolders (1995) employed a modified version of their model developed in Heyndels and Smolders (1994) using 1990 data drawn from 302 municipalities in the Region of Flanders in Belgium. After examining the results of the estimation procedure, Heyndels and Smolders (1995, pp. 138) draw the following conclusion:

Our empirical results show that per capita expenditures of Flemish municipalities can be explained by a model in which tax complexity is measured by a Hannah and Kay index with an $\alpha$-value of 0.9. Use of the Hirschman-Herfindahl index would overestimate the relative importance of the size inequalities, while underestimating the impact of the number of taxes.

In this paper we seek to extend the novel approach to the issue of tax complexity developed by Heyndels and Smolders (1995), and subject it to empirical investigation using cross-sectional variables taken from forty six local government areas in the state
of Tasmania, Australia, in 1991. Our results suggest that the use of HHC simplicity indexes in the empirical estimation of the revenue complexity hypothesis may involve sizeable misspecification bias. This accords with the central findings of Heyndels and Smolders (1995).

The paper itself is divided into four main areas. Section 2 provides a brief synopsis of the characteristics of the HHC and its relationship to the HK. Section 3 deals with the empirical methodology employed in the paper, and the results are discussed in Section 4. The paper ends with some concluding remarks in Section 5.

II. MEASURING TAX COMPLEXITY

The well-known HHC is given by the following formula:

$$HHC = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i^2$$

(1)

where $T_i$ represents the proportion of total tax revenue in a given fiscal jurisdiction derived from tax $i$ and $N$ represents the number of different taxes. In effect, the HHC considers all taxes but, by squaring the relative shares of individual taxes, gives more weight to those individual taxes which generate relatively more tax revenue. In the limiting base, if only one tax raised all tax revenue, then $HHC$ would take the value of unity. Alternatively, if an infinitely large number of taxes generated total tax revenue, then the value of the $HHC$ would approach zero. Accordingly, the $HHC$ is a measure of the simplicity of a tax regime, with lower values of the index indicating more fragmentation. The revenue complexity hypothesis is thus predicted on a negative coefficient for the $HHC$.

A more general way of determining the relative importance of the number of taxes in comparison with revenue raised by individual taxes suggested by Heyndels and Smolders (1995) is the HK. The HK can be written as:

$$HK = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i} \right)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$$

(2)

where $\alpha$ represents a measure of the relative weighting attached to the number of different taxes and the size inequalities between different taxes. Heyndels and Smolders (1995, p. 131) outline a number of characteristics of the HK which serve to highlight its generality. For instance, when $\alpha$ is set equal to 2, the HK becomes the reciprocal of the
Similarly, when $\alpha = 0$, the $HK$ corresponds to the inverse of the minimum concentration index; when $\alpha = 1$, the logarithm of $HK$ becomes the entropy index; and when $\alpha = \infty$, $HK$ represents the reciprocal of the concentration index. Accordingly, Heyndels and Smolders (1995, p. 131) observe that:

It is clear that capturing the complexity of a tax system through a $HK$ index and by manipulating the $\alpha$ parameter, different relative weights can be given to the number of taxes and to the size inequalities between the different taxes as components of tax fragmentation. This creates the opportunity to determine the relative importance of both elements on an empirical basis.

III. EMPIRICAL METHODOLOGY

The conventional approach to the analysis of fiscal illusion follows the Bergstrom and Goodman (1973) demand function for public goods which hypothesises that the level of expenditure conforms to the median voter model. The selection of local public goods to evaluate fiscal illusion within this approach is appropriate for a number of reasons. Firstly, "... an adequate amount of statistical information is an obvious *sine qua non* for demand estimation" (Wildasin 1989, p. 355). Australia offers little in the way of governmental diversity at the state level, whilst analysis nationally requires detailed and standardised time-series data over substantial time-periods. Secondly, expenditures at the local level are most likely to adhere to the classical unidimensional assumptions of the median voter model: that is, whilst at state and national level various requirements such as welfare and defence must be "juggled", the provision of public goods at the local level is usually confined to more narrowly defined projects such as roads, parks and sanitation (Romer and Rosenthal 1979, p. 146). Thirdly, objective measures of public good performance and alternatives are less difficult to quantify (Romer and Rosenthal, 1979). The cost or benefit of better local roads is surely more recognisable, to both the median voter and the administration, than decisions at a higher level of federal structure. Finally, and most importantly in terms of the median voter model, the local community is more likely to adhere to the implicit assumptions of homogeneity (Bergstrom and Goodman 1973; Romer and Rosenthal 1979; Holcombe 1980; Wildasin 1989). Thus, if a demand function is to be estimated on the basis of socio-economic variables alone, without the benefit of individual utility functions, some restrictions must be made. For instance, the assumption that voters of a particular income class
have particular elasticities of demand would appear to hold better at the local level than at that of the relatively heterogenous state level (Wildasin, 1979).

Modelling fiscal illusion in this manner is not only consistent with literature on the nature of the demand function for public goods, like Bergstrom and Goodman (1973) and Romer and Rosenthal (1979), but also accords with most previous empirical work on fiscal illusion, such as Wagner (1976), Munley and Greene (1978), Breeden and Hunter (1985), Feenburg and Rosen (1987) and Grossman (1990). Moreover, this methodology has dominated the empirical literature on fiscal illusion at the local level (Wagner 1976; Pommerehne and Schneider 1978; Munley and Greene 1978; DiLorenzo 1982; Grossman 1990; Heyndels and Smolders 1994). Furthermore, a log-linear formulation of a per capita approach has been widely employed [see, for example, Baker (1983), Misiolek and Elder (1988), Heyndels and Smolders (1995)]

Although the hypotheses examined in the present context are primarily concerned with the revenue complexity hypothesis, some consideration is also given to the renter illusion hypothesis since neglecting it would lead to misspecification (Martinez-Vasquez 1983). The renter illusion hypothesis argues that "...other things being equal, jurisdictions with a relatively large fraction of renters tend to spend more per capita on local public services" (Oates 1988, p. 72). Such an observation is based on the apparent failure of renters to understand the link between the level of local services demanded and the level of rent paid (Oates 1988, p. 72).³

Table 1 outlines the variables employed in the cross-sectional analysis of a sample of forty six local government areas in Tasmania, Australia. The estimating equation is:

\[
\ln \text{EXP}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln \text{AREA}_i + \beta_2 \ln \text{ROAD}_i + \beta_3 \ln \text{TAX}_i + \\
\beta_4 \ln \text{OWN}_i + \beta_5 \ln \text{INC}_i + \beta_7 \ln \text{O65}_i + \beta_8 \ln \text{H}_i(\alpha) + u_i
\]

The dependent variable in (3) is per capita local government expenditure EXP. However, while this is the only broad measure of public good provision employed in past empirical studies (Wagner 1976; Baker 1983; Grossman 1990; Heyndels and Smolders 1994), at least two caveats should be attached to its use, both of which stem from the fact that the use of expenditure data implies that output is measured by the value of inputs.⁴ Firstly, the employment of expenditure as a proxy for public good provision necessarily assumes that production functions are uniform across jurisdictions. Hamilton (1983) has shown that community “inputs” may significantly modify the output of public goods, and accordingly misspecification of output may be a
problem. And secondly, \( EXP \) is based on constant returns to scale. Notwithstanding these caveats, and given the absence of more suitable dependent variables, we use \( EXP \).\(^5\)

\[
\text{TABLE 1.} \\
\text{Variable specification}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( EXP )</td>
<td>Total local per capita expenditure in the i-th municipality ($)</td>
<td>Government Finance Statistics: Tasmania 1990/1991 (ABS) Cat. 5501.6</td>
<td>( + )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AREA )</td>
<td>Rateable area per capita of the i-th municipality (km(^2))</td>
<td>Tasmanian Inquiry into the Modernisation of Local Government (LGAB), 1991.</td>
<td>( + )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ROAD )</td>
<td>Road length per capita of the i-th municipality (km)</td>
<td>Tasmanian Inquiry into the Modernisation of Local Government (LGAB), 1991.</td>
<td>( + )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( OWN )</td>
<td>Proportion of homes owned in the i-th municipality</td>
<td>Local Government Areas: Tasmania 1991 Census (ABS) Cat. 2790.6</td>
<td>( - )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( INC )</td>
<td>Median voter income in the i-th municipality ($)</td>
<td>Local Government Areas: Tasmania 1991 Census (ABS), Cat. 2790.6</td>
<td>( + )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( O65 )</td>
<td>Proportion of population over 65 in the i-th municipality</td>
<td>Local Government Areas: Tasmania 1991 Census (ABS), Cat. 2790.6</td>
<td>( + )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( HK ) ((0 \leq \alpha &lt; \infty))</td>
<td>Hannah and Kay revenue concentration index for the i-th municipality.</td>
<td>Government Finance Statistics: Tasmania 1990/1991 (ABS), Cat. 5501.6</td>
<td>( + )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 also contains the set of independent socioeconomic variables required by the Bergstrom and Goodman (1973) demand function approach. These variables seek to capture salient characteristics of the local community that are likely to influence the demand for public good expenditure. Both rateable area per capita \( AREA \) and rateable area roads per capita \( ROAD \) are expected to exhibit a positive coefficient with respect to expenditure (Wagner 1976; Munley and Greene 1978), particularly since local governments in Australia typically devote considerable resources to these purposes.\(^6\) The proportion of the population over sixty five years of age \( O65 \) is expected to yield a positive sign since a higher proportion of elderly people should be associated with a greater consumption of public goods (Bergstrom and Goodman 1973). Median voter
income $INC$ is included on the presumption that public goods in general may be defined as normal goods, and on the assumption that it captures unmeasurable and unintentionally excluded income-correlated characteristics like educational attainment, employment, family stability, and “… general success in society” (Hamilton 1983, p. 347). The expected coefficient for $INC$ is positive. Despite the widespread employment of an income variable in the empirical literature, at least two lines of criticism have been directed at its use. Firstly, it is argued the employment of median measures of income may serve to obscure the real income elasticity of demand for the public good, since there is no reason to believe that elasticities are constant across a particular income class for any jurisdiction (Romer and Rosenthal 1979; Wildasin 1988). And secondly, the median voter model assumes that the median taxpayer receives the median income which amounts to assuming income is monotonic. If this is not the case, then the equation may be misspecified (Romer and Rosenthal 1979). However, Wildasin (1988, p. 375) argues that at the “macro-level” (i.e. full local expenditure) the impact of any median voter model constraints and assumed monotonicity will be minimal, given “…the error in the income elasticity … is not likely to be very large.”

The final independent socioeconomic variable is the tax-price $TAX$. In common with virtually all public good demand function studies since Bergstrom and Goodman (1973), the tax-price of the median voter should *ex ante* inversely determine the level of provision of the public good, given the substitution from the public to the private good. However, two problems usually surround the selection of a suitable tax-price. The first is the conflict between mean and median tax-prices. Most work has employed the median voter approach, since the mean tax-price has been shown to involve substantial multicollinearity (Munley and Greene 1978; Pommerehne 1978) and to violate the assumptions of the primary model of collective choice (Romer and Rosenthal 1979, p. 151). The second conflict revolves around the question of whether the relevant median tax-price is the median voter’s tax times the marginal cost of public good provision (Yinger, 1982), the median voter’s tax times the marginal cost of public good provision (Yinger 1982), the median voter’s tax-share (Bergstrom and Goodman 1973) or an equal share of the additional provision of the public good (Borcherding 1985). Work by Hayes (1989) has argued that the median voter’s tax share is the most appropriate, both theoretically and empirically. After examining all three approaches, Hayes (1989, p. 273) found that the median voter’s tax share displayed “small biases” for most
socioeconomic variables and provided better estimates given “… a possible misspecified production function,” as against the alternative approaches which exhibited “inconsistent parameters”. Hayes (1989, p. 273) observed that the results indicated “… statistical support for the median voter’s tax-share approach”. Accordingly, this approach is employed in the present context.

In addition to these socioeconomic variables, past empirical approaches to the analysis of fiscal illusion have included various illusionary factors. Variables selected in this regard depend critically on the powers and institutional processes of particular levels of government. The local level of government expenditure in Australia, as exemplified in our Tasmanian sample, provides a suitable milieu for the analysis of both the revenue-complexity hypotheses and the renter illusion hypothesis. As detailed earlier, the $HHC$ has traditionally been used to test the tax complexity hypothesis. However, following Heyndels and Smolders’ (1995) pioneering paper, we employ the $HK$ measure, with the weighting variable $\alpha$ ranging from zero to infinity, step 0.1. Moreover, in common with Heyndels and Smolders (1995), the relative importance of the “number” and “size” components of revenue complexity is assessed by varying the value of $\alpha$, and optimising with respect to the value of the coefficient of determination. Secondly, the renter illusion hypothesis argues that “… other things being equal, jurisdictions with a relatively large fraction of renters tend to spend more per capita on local public service” (Oates 1988, p. 72). Such an observation is based on apparent failure of renters to understand the link between the level of local services demanded and the level of rent paid (Oates, 1988). The variable used to evaluate the renter illusion hypothesis $OWN$ is the proportion of homes owned or being purchased in the municipality (Bergstrom and Goodman 1973; Goetz 1977; Martinez-Vazquez 1983). The renter illusion hypothesis would $a$ priori indicate a negative coefficient since as the proportion of homes owned or being purchased increases, the level of expenditure would fall.

IV. RESULTS

Regression results for the models and hypotheses developed above are presented in Table 3. Selected descriptive statistics are presented in Table 2.

All socioeconomic and fiscal variables adhere to their hypothesised coefficients and would appear to support the considerable evidence that already exists “… suggesting
that the composition of the community - that is, the characteristics of the residents themselves - plays a central role in determining levels of important public outputs” (Schwab and Oates 1991, p. 217). Tests for homoskedasticity fail to reject the null hypothesis and we may conclude heteroskedasticity is not present. The Ramsay RESET specification test rejects the null hypothesis of no functional misspecification, whilst a test for model selection favours the log-linear form over an alternative linear formulation. The results supporting the former specification sustain the findings of Baker (1983), Misiolek and Elder (1988), Grossman (1990) and Heyndels and Smolders (1994; 1995) in the econometric suitability of the log-linear over a linear form for demand estimation.

### TABLE 2. Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>608.07</td>
<td>476.35</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>1992.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROADS</td>
<td>287.41</td>
<td>148.27</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>769.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>700.25</td>
<td>235.76</td>
<td>130.23</td>
<td>1112.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWN</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INC</td>
<td>26760.00</td>
<td>4604.20</td>
<td>17670.00</td>
<td>37790.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O65</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>9829.90</td>
<td>13937.00</td>
<td>448.00</td>
<td>62504.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most importantly in terms of the present study, the results in Table 3 suggest that the use of the HHIC in analysis of this kind may involve sizeable misspecification bias. More particularly, the best fit of the model is optimised at an $\alpha$ value of 1.1.\textsuperscript{10} Utilising an F-test Wald procedure along the lines of Heyndels and Smolders (1995), the null hypothesis of the $\alpha = 2$ restriction is rejected against the unrestricted $\alpha = 1.1$ alternative.

This would tend to suggest that when the “artificial” restriction of $\alpha = 2$ is imposed by the HHIC, the size inequalities of different revenue extraction devices are emphasised over the number of such devices. This accords with Heyndels and Smolders (1995, p. 136) observation that “… the impact of the information of the fiscal burden being dispersed over different taxes is relatively underestimated, while the impact of Wagner’s ‘abstraction’-argument is relatively overestimated”. Moreover, and once again in agreement with Heyndels and Smolders (1995), the testing of a range of $\alpha$ values finds that consideration of the number and size of fiscal extraction devices is
required in the empirical analysis of revenue complexity. However, the results would suggest, at least in the Australian institutional milieu, that the emphasis on the size inequalities of revenue devices (as represented by the \textit{HHC}) is likely to encompass a lesser degree of misspecification than that posited by the use of a proxy variable which might emphasise the number of such devices. Finally, further tests are undertaken using the preferred specification of $\alpha = 1.1$. A test for the joint insignificance of the vector of socioeconomic coefficients ($\text{AREA, ROAD, TAX, INC and O65}$) is rejected at the 99 percent level. Similarly, an identical test for the joint insignificance of the illusionary variables $\text{OWN}$ and $\text{HK}$ is also rejected.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Regression Estimates}
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline
 & $\text{CONS.}$ & $\text{AREA}$ & $\text{ROAD}$ & $\text{TAX}$ & $\text{OWN}$ & $\text{INC}$ & $\text{O65}$ & $\text{HK}$ \\
\hline
$\alpha = 0.0$ & 3.0143 & -0.1566$^*$ & 0.4345$^{**}$ & -0.1939 & -1.0299$^{***}$ & 0.1790 & 0.3485$^{**}$ & 0.1229 \\
 & (4.620) & (0.078) & (0.134) & (0.133) & (0.308) & (0.433) & (0.156) & (0.640) \\
$\alpha = 1.1$ & 1.7213 & -0.1771$^{**}$ & 0.4557$^{***}$ & -0.2447$^*$ & -1.1302$^{***}$ & 0.3099$^{***}$ & 0.3911$^*$ & 0.6184$^*$ \\
 & (4.060) & (0.074) & (0.127) & (0.126) & (0.289) & (0.405) & (0.138) & (0.315) \\
$\alpha = 2.0$ & 1.9516 & -0.1631$^*$ & 0.4210$^{***}$ & -0.2143 & -1.0828$^{***}$ & 0.2174 & 0.3899$^{**}$ & 0.4825$^{***}$ \\
 & (4.095) & (0.074) & (0.128) & (0.124) & (0.288) & (0.407) & (0.140) & (0.278) \\
$\alpha = \infty$ & 2.4927 & -0.1487$^{**}$ & 0.4018$^{***}$ & -0.1825 & -1.0275$^{***}$ & 0.2119 & 0.3681$^{**}$ & 0.2843 \\
 & (4.251) & (0.077) & (0.135) & (0.127) & (0.294) & (0.417) & (0.145) & (0.324) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Values in parentheses are the corresponding standard errors. Asterisks represent the level of significance $^*$ - 90 percent, $^{**}$ - 95 percent, $^{***}$ - 99 percent.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Various benefits flow from the present study. Our results support the contention advanced by Heyndels and Smolders (1995) that the widespread use of the \textit{HHC} may result in misspecification bias by providing corroborative econometric evidence drawn from an alternative institutional milieu and a different data set. There is thus now compelling evidence to suggest that the previous unqualified acceptance of the \textit{HHC} as a satisfactory measure of revenue simplicity was misplaced. Moreover, our results also provide support for the use of the \textit{HK} instead of the \textit{HHC}.

However, several caveats must be emphasised. In the first instance, whilst use of the \textit{HHC} does lead to misspecification, the level of such misspecification is not great, at least relative to larger potential problems, such as overall model misspecification. Secondly, it should be noted that local governments in Australia are much more legislatively restricted in their revenue-raising activities than their Belgian
counterparts, and in particular are obliged to rely on far fewer fiscal extraction devices. It is thus reasonable to assume any HHIC bias against the numbers of taxes is muted in the Australian context. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, despite its undoubted advantages Heyndels and Smolders (1995) seminal use of the HK does raise questions concerning “data mining”. The selection of an optimising value for $\alpha$ serves to maximise the ex post empirical results at the expense of sound a priori reasoning. The importance of a solid theoretical basis for the analysis of fiscal illusion need hardly be stressed.

NOTES

1 Other hypothesised sources of fiscal illusion are revenue-elasticity, the flypaper effect, renter illusion, and debt illusion.

2 Municipal data was selected from Tasmania for three reasons. The first is that Tasmania does not have in force “rate ceilings” as found in, say NSW. Municipal decisions on expenditure thus tend to be more disassociated from state control. Secondly, it would be unwise to cross state borders in selecting data sets, as substantial differences in the regulation, revenue raising and administration of local governments exist. Thirdly, Tasmania was the only state to provide concise published data on a local government basis for the 1991 Australian Census.

3 At the local level in Australia, revenue is primarily derived from municipal rates and the usage of municipal services and does not relate directly to income levels within a municipal area. Accordingly, the revenue-elasticity hypothesis is not relevant.

4 Derivation of more suitable measures of public provision brings with it further complications. In US studies of local education expenditure (Hamilton 1983), use of educational performance is more likely to be an indicator of the socio-economic profile of the community rather than public good demand. In this manner, expenditure as output removes, at the least, the question of endogeneity (Wildasin 1989, p. 359).

5 Some studies have argued convincingly that substantial variation in public good demand may be found within local expenditure. In this manner evaluation of total local public goods may well obscure some of the peculiar conditions surrounding components of this expenditure, such as police, health and education in the United States (Bergstrom and Goodman 1973; Martinez-Vazquez 1983; Grossman 1990).

6 Rateable area and rateable area roads, rather than municipal area and municipal area roads, were selected since many Tasmanian LGAs encompass sizeable wilderness (state-funded) and national park (federally funded) regions. Rateable area and rateable area roads are likely to give a more accurate indication of local fiscal responsibilities.

7 Flowers (1977) has argued that voter equilibrium with two or more tax sources requires the median voter to be identified for each tax source being utilised., rather than a single tax-price across all tax sources.

8 It should be noted that Pommerrehne and Schneider (1978) did raise the possibility of employing an entropy measure instead of the HHIC, but did not report the empirical results flowing from its use, save to point out that it generated similar results to the conventional HHIC. Similarly, Clotfelter (1976) included the relative importance of direct taxes and reliance on user charges in addition to an HHIC comprising nine categories of taxation. For a detailed discussion of empirical work in the Wagner (1976) tradition see Dollery and Worthington (1996).

9 Eight revenue classifications are employed; rates, licenses/fees/fines, grants, charges, interest, utility transfers, loans, and other income.

10 By way of comparison, Heyndels and Smolders (1955, pp. 136) found best fit at an $\alpha$ value of 0.9.
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